

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8

9 Nhat Phan,

10 Petitioner,

11 vs.

12 Katrina S. Kane, et al.,

13 Respondents.
14

No. CV 11-02259-PHX-NVW (LOA)

ORDER

15 Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of
16 Magistrate Judge Lawrence O. Anderson (Doc. 14) regarding petitioner’s Petition for
17 Writ of Habeas Corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (Doc. 1). The R&R
18 recommends that the Petition be denied and dismissed with prejudice. The Magistrate
19 Judge advised the parties that they had ten days to file objections to the R&R. (R&R at 4
20 (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)). No objections were filed.

21 Because the parties did not file objections, the court need not review any of the
22 Magistrate Judge’s determinations on dispositive matters. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1);
23 Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003);
24 *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (“[Section 636(b)(1)] does not . . . require any
25 review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.”). The absence of a
26 timely objection also means that error may not be assigned on appeal to any defect in the
27 rulings of the Magistrate Judge on any non-dispositive matters. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a) (“A
28 party may serve and file objections to the order within 14 days after being served with a


1
2 copy [of the magistrate's order]. A party may not assign as error a defect in the order not
3 timely objected to."); *Simpson v. Lear Astronics Corp.*, 77 F.3d 1170, 1174 (9th Cir.
4 1996); *Philipps v. GMC*, 289 F.3d 1117, 1120-21 (9th Cir. 2002).

5 Notwithstanding the absence of an objection, the court has reviewed the R&R and
6 finds that it is well taken. The court will accept the R&R and dismiss the Petition. *See*
7 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating that the district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in
8 whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate").

9 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of the
10 Magistrate Judge (Doc. 14) is accepted.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court enter judgment
12 dismissing Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
13 § 2241 (Doc. 1) with prejudice. The Clerk shall terminate this action.

14 Dated this 26th day of March, 2012.

15
16 
17 _____
18 Neil V. Wake
19 United States District Judge
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28